

Gradient Flowと エネルギー運動量テンソル

Masakiyo Kitazawa (Osaka U.)

for FlowQCD Collaboration

Asakawa, Hatsuda, Iritani, Itou, MK, Suzuki

FlowQCD, PRD90,011501R (2014)

$T_{\mu\nu}$

Poincare
symmetry

$T_{\mu\nu}$

		momentum		
energy	T_{00}	T_{01}	T_{02}	T_{03}
	T_{10}	T_{11}	T_{12}	T_{13}
	T_{20}	T_{21}	T_{22}	T_{23}
	T_{30}	T_{31}	T_{32}	T_{33}
		stress		pressure

Einstein Equation


$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}$$

Hydrodynamic Eq.

$$\partial_\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$$


$T_{\mu\nu}$: nontrivial observable
on the lattice

- ① Definition of the operator is nontrivial
because of the explicit breaking of Lorentz symmetry



ex: $T_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\rho}F_{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\mu\nu}FF$

$F_{\mu\nu} =$



- ② Its measurement is extremely noisy
due to high dimensionality and etc.


If we have

$$T_{\mu\nu}$$

Thermodynamics



direct measurement of
expectation values

$$\langle T_{00} \rangle, \langle T_{ii} \rangle$$



If we have

$$T_{\mu\nu}$$



- confinement string
- EM distribution in hadrons


Hadron Structure

Fluctuations and Correlations

viscosity, specific heat, ...

$$\eta = \int_0^\infty dt \langle T_{12}; T_{12} \rangle$$

$$c_V \sim \langle \delta T_{00}^2 \rangle$$



- vacuum configuration
- mixed state on 1st transition

Vacuum Structure

Gradient Flow

YM Gradient Flow

Luescher, 2010

$$\partial_t A_\mu(t, x) = - \frac{\partial S_{\text{YM}}}{\partial A_\mu}$$

t: "flow time"
dim:[length²]

$$A_\mu(0, x) = A_\mu(x)$$

YM Gradient Flow

Luescher, 2010

$$\partial_t A_\mu(t, x) = - \frac{\partial S_{\text{YM}}}{\partial A_\mu}$$

t: “flow time”
dim:[length²]

$$A_\mu(0, x) = A_\mu(x)$$

- transform gauge field like diffusion equation

$$\partial_t A_\mu = D_\nu G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu \partial_\nu A_\mu + \dots$$

- diffusion length $d \sim \sqrt{8t}$

- This is **NOT** the standard cooling/smearing

- All composite operators at $t > 0$ are UV finite Luescher,Weisz,2011

Applications of Gradient Flow

- ① scale setting
- ② running coupling
- ③ topology
- ④ operator construction
- ⑤ autocorrelation, etc.

Small Flow Time Expansion of Operators and EMT

Operator Relation

Luescher, Weisz, 2011


$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(t, x) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0]{} \sum_i c_i(t) \mathcal{O}_i^R(x)$$

an operator at $t > 0$

remormalized operators
of original theory

Operator Relati


Luescher, Weisz, 2011



Constructing EMT

Suzuki, 2013

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(t, x) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} \sum_i c_i(t) \mathcal{O}_i^R(x)$$



□ gauge-invariant dimension 4 operators


$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} U_{\mu\nu}(t, x) = G_{\mu\rho}(t, x)G_{\nu\rho}(t, x) - \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu}(t, x)G_{\mu\nu}(t, x) \\ E(t, x) = \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu}(t, x)G_{\mu\nu}(t, x) \end{array} \right.$$

Constructing EMT 2

Suzuki, 2013

$$U_{\mu\nu}(t, x) = \alpha_U(t) \left[T_{\mu\nu}^R(x) - \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\mu\nu} T_{\rho\rho}^R(x) \right] + \mathcal{O}(t)$$

$$E(t, x) = \langle E(t, x) \rangle + \alpha_E(t) T_{\rho\rho}^R(x) + \mathcal{O}(t)$$



Suzuki coeffs. $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_U(t) = g^2 [1 + 2b_0 s_1 g^2 + O(g^4)] \\ \alpha_E(t) = \frac{1}{2b_0} [1 + 2b_0 s_2 g^2 + O(g^4)] \end{array} \right.$

$$g = g(1/\sqrt{8t})$$

$$s_1 = 0.03296 \dots$$


$$s_2 = 0.19783 \dots$$

Constructing EMT 2

Suzuki, 2013

$$U_{\mu\nu}(t, x) = \alpha_U(t) \left[T_{\mu\nu}^R(x) - \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\mu\nu} T_{\rho\rho}^R(x) \right] + \mathcal{O}(t)$$

$$E(t, x) = \langle E(t, x) \rangle + \alpha_E(t) T_{\rho\rho}^R(x) + \mathcal{O}(t)$$



Suzuki coeffs. $\begin{cases} \alpha_U(t) = g^2 [1 + 2b_0 s_1 g^2 + O(g^4)] \\ \alpha_E(t) = \frac{1}{2b_0} [1 + 2b_0 s_2 g^2 + O(g^4)] \end{cases}$


$$\begin{aligned} g &= g(1/\sqrt{8t}) \\ s_1 &= 0.03296 \dots \\ s_2 &= 0.19783 \dots \end{aligned}$$

Remormalized EMT


$$T_{\mu\nu}^R(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_U(t)} U_{\mu\nu}(t, x) + \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{4\alpha_E(t)} E(t, x)_{\text{subt.}} \right]$$

Numerical Analysis on the Lattice

Gradient Flow Method



Caveats



gradient flow

Gauge field has to be
sufficiently smeared!

$$a \ll \sqrt{8t}$$

measurement
on the lattice

$$T_{\mu\nu}^R$$

continuum theory
(with dim. reg.)


analytic
(perturbative)

$$U_{\mu\nu}, E$$

continuum theory
(with dim. reg.)

gradient flow

Caveats



Perturbative relation
has to be applicable!
 $\sqrt{8t} \ll \Lambda^{-1}, T^{-1}$

Gauge field has to be
sufficiently smeared!
 $a \ll \sqrt{8t}$



$T^R_{\mu\nu}$
continuum theory
(with dim. reg.)


analytic
(perturbative)

gradient flow


$U_{\mu\nu}, E$
continuum theory
(with dim. reg.)

$a \ll \sqrt{8t} \ll \Lambda^{-1}$

$$\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha_U(t)} U_{\mu\nu}(t) + \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{4\alpha_E(t)} E(t)_{\text{subt.}} \quad T_{\mu\nu}^R = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}(t)$$




$$\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha_U(t)} U_{\mu\nu}(t) + \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{4\alpha_E(t)} E(t)_{\text{subt.}} \quad T_{\mu\nu}^R = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}(t)$$



□ $t \rightarrow 0$ limit with keeping $t \gg a^2$

Numerical Simulation

- SU(3) YM theory
- Wilson gauge action



twice finer lattice!

Simulation 1

(arXiv:1312.7492)

- lattice size: $32^3 \times N_t$
- $N_t = 6, 8, 10$
- $\beta = 5.89 - 6.56$
- ~ 300 configurations

using SX8 @ RCNP
SR16000 @ KEK



Simulation 2

(*new*, preliminary)

- lattice size: $64^3 \times N_t$
- $N_t = 10, 12, 14, 16$
- $\beta = 6.4 - 7.4$
- ~ 2000 configurations


using BlueGeneQ @ KEK
efficiency $\sim 40\%$

Thermodynamics

Thermodynamics

direct measurement of
expectation values


$$\langle T_{00} \rangle, \langle T_{ii} \rangle$$



“Trace Anomaly” at $T=1.65T_c$

$$\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha_U(t)} U_{\mu\nu}(t) + \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{4\alpha_E(t)} E(t)_{\text{subt.}}$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^R = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}(t)$$



Emergent plateau!

$$2a \lesssim \sqrt{8t} \lesssim 0.4T^{-1}$$



$Nt=6,8,10$
~300 confs.

the range of t where the EMT
formula is successfully used!

“Trace Anomaly” at $T=1.65T_c$

$$\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha_U(t)} U_{\mu\nu}(t) + \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{4\alpha_E(t)} E(t)_{\text{subt.}}$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^R = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}(t)$$




Emergent plateau!

$$2a \lesssim \sqrt{8t} \lesssim 0.4T^{-1}$$

$Nt=6,8,10$
~300 confs.

the range of t where the EMT
formula is successfully used!

Entropy Density at $T=1.65T_c$



Emergent plateau!


$$2a \lesssim \sqrt{8t} \lesssim 0.4T^{-1}$$

Nt=6,8,10
~300 confs.

Direct measurement of e+p on a given T!

NO integral / **NO** vacuum subtraction


Continuum Limit




$32^3 \times Nt$

$Nt = 6, 8, 10$

$T/T_c = 0.99, 1.24, 1.65$




Continuum Limit




$32^3 \times N_t$

$N_t = 6, 8, 10$


$T/T_c = 0.99, 1.24, 1.65$



Comparison with
previous studies



Numerical Simulation



- SU(3) YM theory
- Wilson gauge action

Simulation 1

(arXiv:1312.7492)

- lattice size: $32^3 \times N_t$
- $N_t = 6, 8, 10$
- $\beta = 5.89 - 6.56$
- ~ 300 configurations

using SX8 @ RCNP
SR16000 @ KEK



Simulation 2


(*new*, preliminary)

- lattice size: $64^3 \times N_t$
- $N_t = 10, 12, 14, 16$
- $\beta = 6.4 - 7.4$
- ~ 2000 configurations

using BlueGeneQ @ KEK
efficiency $\sim 40\%$

twice finer lattice!

Entropy Density on Finer Lattices




$T = 2.31T_c$

$64^3 \times N_t$

$N_t = 10, 12, 14, 16$



2000 confs.




- The wider plateau on the finer lattices
- Plateau may have a nonzero slope

Continuum Extrapolation

- $T=2.31T_c$
- 2000 confs
- $N_t = 10 \sim 16$



$a \rightarrow 0$ limit with fixed t/a^2



Continuum extrapolation
is stable

EMT Correlators

Fluctuations and Correlations

viscosity, specific heat, ...

$$\eta = \int_0^\infty dt \langle T_{12}; T_{12} \rangle$$

$$c_V \sim \langle \delta T_{00}^2 \rangle$$

EMT Correlator

□ Kubo Formula: T_{12} correlator $\leftrightarrow$ shear viscosity

$$\eta = \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^{1/T} d\tau \int d^3x \langle T_{12}(x, -i\tau) T_{12}(0, t) \rangle$$

➤ Hydrodynamics describes long range behavior of $T_{\mu\nu}$


□ Energy fluctuation $\leftrightarrow$ specific heat

$$c_V = \frac{\langle \delta E^2 \rangle}{VT^2}$$

EMT Correlator : Noisy...

With naïve EMT operators

$$\langle T_{12}(\tau) T_{12}(0) \rangle$$




Nakamura, Sakai, PRL, 2005

$N_t=8$

improved action

$\sim 10^6$ configurations

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu}(\tau) T_{\mu\nu}(0) \rangle$$



$N_t=16$

standard action


5×10^4 configurations

... no signal

Energy Correlation Function

$$\langle \delta T_{00}(\tau) \delta T_{00}(0) \rangle / T^5$$

$T=2.31T_c$
 $b=7.2$, $N_t=16$
2000 confs
 $p=0$ correlator



Energy Correlation Function


$$\langle \delta T_{00}(\tau) \delta T_{00}(0) \rangle / T^5$$

$T=2.31T_c$

$b=7.2, N_t=16$

2000 confs

$p=0$ correlator



□ τ independent const.
 → energy conservation

Energy Correlation Function


$$\langle \delta T_{00}(\tau) \delta T_{00}(0) \rangle / T^5$$

$$T = 2.31 T_c$$

$$b = 7.2, N_t = 16$$

2000 confs

$p = 0$ correlator



□ specific heat

$$c_V = \frac{\langle \delta E^2 \rangle}{VT^2}$$

→ Novel approach to measure specific heat!

Gavai, Gupta, Mukherjee, 2005

$$c_V / T^3 = 15(1) \quad T/T_c = 2$$

$$= 18(2) \quad T/T_c = 3$$

differential method / cont lim.

Summary

$$T_{\mu\nu}^R(x)$$

Summary

EMT formula from gradient flow

$$T_{\mu\nu}^R(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_U(t)} U_{\mu\nu}(t, x) + \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{4\alpha_E(t)} E(t, x)_{\text{subt.}} \right]$$

This formula can successfully define and calculate the EMT on the lattice


This operator provides us with novel approaches to measure various observables on the lattice!

This method is direct, intuitive and less noisy

Thermodynamics

direct measurement of
expectation values

$$\langle T_{00} \rangle, \langle T_{ii} \rangle$$





Fluctuations and Correlations

viscosity, specific heat, ...
 $c_V \sim \langle \delta T_{00}^2 \rangle$

$$\eta = \langle T_{12}; T_{12} \rangle$$


now we have

$$T_{\mu\nu}$$



- confinement string
- EM distribution in hadrons

Hadron Structure



- vacuum configuration
- mixed state on 1st transition

Vacuum Structure

and scale setting, full QCD Makino, Suzuki, 2014, etc.